arXiv:1604.06535v1 [math.PR] 22 Apr 2016

Generation of interfaces for multi-dimensional stochastic Allen-Cahn equation with a noise smooth in space

Kai Lee
University of Tokyo

Abstract

In this paper, we study the generation of interfaces for a stochastic Allen-Cahn equation with general initial value [7] in the multi-dimensional case that external noise is given by Q-Brownian motion. We prove that interfaces, for d-dimensional stochastic Allen-Cahn equation with scaling parameter $\varepsilon > 0$, are generated at the time of order $O(\varepsilon|\log\varepsilon|)$. Especially, in one-dimensional case, we give more detailed estimate and shape of the generated interface than that obtained in [7]. Assuming that the Q-Brownian motion is smooth in space variable, we extend a comparison theorem for PDE to SPDE's in order to prove the generation. Moreover, we connect the generated interface to the motion of interface in one-dimension [4]. In this case, we consider the white noise only in time multiplied by $\varepsilon^{\gamma}a(x)$ as the noise term, where a is a smooth function which has a compact support. This is the special case of Q-Brownian motion. We take the time scale of order $O(\varepsilon^{-2\gamma-1})$ for studying the motion of interface.

1 Introduction

In this paper, we study the sharp interface limit for multi-dimensional stochastic Allen-Cahn equation with Neumann boundary condition:

$$\begin{cases} \dot{u}^{\varepsilon}(t,x) = \Delta u^{\varepsilon}(t,x) + \dfrac{1}{\varepsilon}f(u^{\varepsilon}(t,x)) + \dot{W}_t^{\varepsilon}(x), \quad t>0,\ x\in D, \\ u^{\varepsilon}(0,x) = u_0(x), \quad x\in D, \\ \dfrac{\partial u}{\partial \nu}(t,x) = 0, \quad t>0,\ x\in \partial D \end{cases} \tag{1.1}$$

where D is a domain of $\mathbb{R}^d$, for $d \geq 2$, which has a C^1 boundary and ν is the exterior unit normal vector of ∂D. We use the notation of $\dot{u} = \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}$, $\Delta u = \sum_{i=1}^{d} \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_i^2}$ and $\dot{W}_t^{\varepsilon}(x)$ is an external random noise. We give a mathematical meaning to the solution u^{ε} as a mild solution or a generalized solution (see [8]). We assume that the bistable reaction term f has ± 1 as stable points and satisfies $\int_{-1}^{1} f(u)du = 0$.

In [7], we considered one-dimensional case and computed a generation time of the solution u^{ε} in the case that $\dot{W}_t^{\varepsilon}(x) := \varepsilon^{\gamma}a(x)\dot{W}_t(x)$. We assumed that $\dot{W}_t(x)$ is a space time white noise and $a \in C_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$. We obtained that the first generation time is of order $O(\varepsilon|\log\varepsilon|)$ and connected to the motion of interface which is the result of Funaki [5]. The proper time scale for the motion is of order $O(\varepsilon^{-2\gamma-\frac{1}{2}})$.

In this paper, we show the generation of interface in d-dimensional setting for $d \geq 1$ in the case that the external noise is smooth in space. Especially, in the case of $d = 1$, we get more detailed shape of the generated interface than the result of [7]. Moreover, we consider the motion of interface in the case of $\dot{W}_t^\varepsilon(x) := \varepsilon^\gamma a(x)\dot{W}_t$ for $\varepsilon > 0$ where the function a is same as above and $\dot{W}_t$ is a white noise only in time. We can regard the noise $a(x)\dot{W}_t$ as a special case of Q-Brownian motion. Funaki [4] investigated the dynamics of the interface in this case with an initial value which has already formed an interface and show that the proper time scale is of order $O(\varepsilon^{-2\gamma-1})$. We consider more general initial value, compute the generation time and connect to the result of [4].

1.1 Setting of the model

At first, we consider d-dimensional equation (1.1) for $d \geq 2$ with Nenmann boundary condition. The external noise term is defined by $\dot{W}_t^\varepsilon(x) := \varepsilon^\gamma \dot{W}_t^{Q_d}(x)$ where $\varepsilon > 0$. The noise $\dot{W}_t^{Q_d}(x)$ is a formal time derivative of a Q_d-Brownian motion on $\mathbb{R}^d$, which is smooth in a space variable and has a covariance structure;

$$E[W_t^{Q_d}(x)W_s^{Q_d}(y)] = (t \wedge s)Q_d(x, y), \tag{1.2}$$

where the function $Q_d : D \times D \to \mathbb{R}$ is a positive, symmetric and compactly supported smooth function. We assume that $\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\frac{\partial}{\partial y}Q_d(x, y)$ and $\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2}Q_d(x, y)$ are positive if $x = y$. The initial value $u_0 \in C^2(\overline{D})$ satisfies

$$\|u_0\|_\infty + \|u_0'\|_\infty + \|u_0''\|_\infty \leq C_0, \tag{1.3}$$

where $\|\cdot\|_\infty$ is the supremum norm of $C(D)$.

Next we consider 1-dimensional case;

$$\begin{cases} \dot{u}^\varepsilon(t, x) = \Delta u^\varepsilon(t, x) + \dfrac{1}{\varepsilon} f(u^\varepsilon(t, x)) + \dot{W}_t^\varepsilon(x), \quad t > 0,\ x \in \mathbb{R}, \\ u^\varepsilon(0, x) = u_0^\varepsilon(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, \\ u^\varepsilon(t, \pm\infty) = \pm 1, \quad t \geq 0. \end{cases} \tag{1.4}$$

We define the external noise by $\dot{W}_t^\varepsilon(x) := \varepsilon^\gamma \dot{W}_t^Q(x)$ where $W_t^Q(x)$ is a Q-Brownian motion on $\mathbb{R}$ which has the same covariance as (1.2) where $Q : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ is a positive, symmetric and compactly supported smooth function. We assume that $\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\frac{\partial}{\partial y}Q(x, y)$ and $\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2}Q(x, y)$ are positive if $x = y$. We also assume that $u_0^\varepsilon \in C^2(\mathbb{R})$ and there exist constants $C_0 > 1$, C, C', κ, $K > 0$ and a function g_1, $g_2 \in H^3(\mathbb{R})$ such that

$$\begin{cases} \text{(i)} \|u_0^\varepsilon\|_\infty + \|u_0^{\varepsilon\prime}\|_\infty + \|u_0^{\varepsilon\prime\prime}\|_\infty \leq C_0, \\ \text{(ii)There exists a unique zero } \xi_0 \in [-K, K] \text{ such that } u_0^\varepsilon(\xi_0) = 0, \\ \text{(iii)} |u_0^\varepsilon(x)| \geq C\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}} \ (|x - \xi_0| \geq C'\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}), \\ \text{(iv)} |u_0^\varepsilon(x) - 1| \leq \varepsilon^\kappa g_1(x) \ (x \geq K), \\ \text{(v)} |u_0^\varepsilon(x) + 1| \leq \varepsilon^\kappa g_2(x) \ (x \leq -K), \end{cases} \tag{1.5}$$

where $\|\cdot\|_\infty$ is the supreme norm of $C(\mathbb{R})$. In this paper, we take $K = 1$ and assume that the support of $Q(x, y)$ is included in $[-1, 1] \times [-1, 1]$ without loss of generality.

The reaction term $f \in C^2(\mathbb{R})$ of SPDEs (1.1) and (1.4) satisfies the following conditions:

$$\begin{cases} \text{(i)} f \text{ has only three zeros } \pm 1, 0, \\ \text{(ii)} f'(\pm 1) = -p < 0, \ f'(0) = \mu > 0, \\ \text{(iii)} f(u) \le C(1 + |u|^q) \text{ with some } C, q > 0, \\ \text{(iv)} f'(u) \le c \text{ with some } c > 0, \\ \text{(v)} f \text{ is odd}, \\ \text{(vi)} f(u) \le -p(u-1) \ (u \ge 1). \end{cases} \tag{1.6}$$

The reaction term is bistable and has only $u = \pm 1$ as stable points from (i) and (ii). The existence of global solutions for the SPDEs (1.1) and (1.4) with noises introduced above is insured by (iii). We need the assumption (iv) in order to use a comparison theorem by applying the maximal principle for the parabolic PDEs (See Section 2 of [3]). The condition (v) implies $\int_{-1}^{1} f(u)du = 0$. We assume (vi) for a technical reason. For example, we can take $f(u) = u - u^3$. Throughout this paper, we set $C_f := \sup_{u \in [-2C_0, 2C_0]} f'(u)$.

1.2 Main results

At first, we show the generation of interface in d-dimensional case for $d \ge 2$. We get a following result for the generation of interface.

Theorem 1.1. *Let u^ε be the solution of (1.1) which satisfy (1.6) and (1.3). If there exist constants $C_1 > 0$, κ and α satisfying $\kappa > \alpha > \frac{1}{2}$, $\kappa > 1$ and $\frac{\alpha}{\mu} + \frac{\kappa}{p} < C_1 < \frac{1}{\mu}$, then there exist positive constants $\tilde{\gamma}_d > 0$ and, for all $\gamma \ge \tilde{\gamma}_d$, we have that*

$$\text{(i)} \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} P(-1 - \varepsilon^\kappa \le u^\varepsilon(x, C_1\varepsilon|\log\varepsilon|) \le 1 + \varepsilon^\kappa \ \textit{for all } x \in D) = 1 \tag{1.7}$$

$$\text{(ii)} \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} P(u^\varepsilon(x, C_1\varepsilon|\log\varepsilon|) \ge 1 - \varepsilon^\kappa \ \textit{for } x \in D \textit{ such that } u_0(x) \ge \varepsilon^{1-C_1\mu}) = 1 \tag{1.8}$$

$$\text{(iii)} \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} P(u^\varepsilon(x, C_1\varepsilon|\log\varepsilon|) \le -1 + \varepsilon^\kappa \ \textit{for } x \in D \textit{ such that } u_0(x) \le -\varepsilon^{1-C_1\mu}) = 1 \tag{1.9}$$

Next, we consider one-dimensional equation (1.4). Before we state theorems, we mathematically formulate the generation of interface in one-dimension.

Definition 1.1. *We say $u^\varepsilon(t, \cdot)$ generates an interface if there exist β, $\kappa > 0$, $C > 0$, $K > 0$ and $\bar{g}_1$, $\bar{g}_2(x) \in H^1(\mathbb{R})$ such that*

$$\begin{cases} \text{(i)} \ |u^\varepsilon(t,x)| \le 1 + \varepsilon^\kappa \ (x \in [-1,1]), \\ \text{(ii)} \ u^\varepsilon(t,x) \ge 1 - \varepsilon^\kappa \ (x \in [-1,1] \textit{ such that } u_0(x) \ge C\varepsilon^\beta), \\ \text{(iii)} \ u^\varepsilon(t,x) \ge -1 + \varepsilon^\kappa \ (x \in [-1,1] \textit{ such that } u_0(x) \le -C\varepsilon^\beta), \\ \text{(iv)} \ |u^\varepsilon(t,x) - 1| \le \varepsilon^\kappa \bar{g}_1(x) \ (x \ge 1), \\ \text{(v)} \ |u^\varepsilon(t,x) + 1| \le \varepsilon^\kappa \bar{g}_2(x) \ (x \le -1). \end{cases} \tag{1.10}$$

Now we state generation and motion of interface as our main results.

Theorem 1.2. *Let u^ε be the solution of (1.4) which satisfies (1.6) and (1.5). If $\dot{W}^\varepsilon(t,x) := \varepsilon^\gamma \dot{W}^Q(t,x)$ and there exist constants $C_1 > 0$, κ and α satisfying the same condition as in Theorem 1.1, then there exists a constant $\tilde{\gamma} > 0$ and, for all $\gamma \geq \tilde{\gamma}$, we have that*

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} P(u^\varepsilon(C_1 \varepsilon |\log \varepsilon|, \cdot) \text{ generates an interface}) = 1, \tag{1.11}$$

and β in (1.10) is taken as $\beta = 1 - C_1 \mu$.

Next we formulate the result of the motion of interface for a special Q-Brownian motion. Indeed we take $a(x)\dot{W}_t$ as $\dot{W}_t^Q(x)$ in order to connect Theorem 1.2 to Theorem1.1 of [4], p.135. The initial value in the following theorem is more general than [4].

Theorem 1.3. *Let u^ε be the solution of (1.4) which satisfies (1.6) and (1.3) and set $\bar{u}^\varepsilon(t,x) := u^\varepsilon(\varepsilon^{-2\gamma-1}t, x)$. If $\dot{W}^\varepsilon(t,x) := \varepsilon^\gamma a(x)\dot{W}_t$ and there exist constants $C_1 > 0$, κ and α satisfying the same condition as in Theorem 1.1, then there exists a constant $\tilde{\gamma} > 0$ and, for all $\gamma \geq \tilde{\gamma}$, we have that*

$$P(\|\bar{u}^\varepsilon(t,\cdot) - \chi_{\xi_t^\varepsilon}(\cdot)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \leq \delta \text{ for all } t \in [C_1 \varepsilon |\log \varepsilon|, T]) \to 1 \quad (\varepsilon \to 0). \tag{1.12}$$

Here the distribution of the process ξ_t^ε on $C([0,T],\mathbb{R})$ converges to that of ξ_t weakly and ξ_t obeys the SDE starting at ξ_0 (see (1.5) for ξ_0);

$$d\xi_t = \alpha_1 a(\xi_t) dB_t + \alpha_2 a(\xi_t) a'(\xi_t) dt, \tag{1.13}$$

with certain α_1 and $\alpha_2 \in \mathbb{R}$, see [4], p. 135.

These two results imply that the interface is formed by the early time of order $O(\varepsilon|\log\varepsilon|)$ and afterward move in the time scale of order $O(\varepsilon^{-2\gamma-1})$. This time scale is same as that of Funaki's result [4] in the case that the external noise is $\varepsilon^\gamma a(x) W_t$ ([4] discussed not only $\varepsilon^\gamma a(x) W_t$ but also $\varepsilon^\gamma a(x) W_t^h$ where W_t^h is a Q-Brownian motion which has a Riesz potential kernel as a covariance operator).

The proofs of these results are based on the methods of Alfaro et al [1]. They computed the first generation time for the multi-dimensional case with non-random deterministic external force. Their main idea was to construct super and sub solutions of the Allen-Cahn equation;

$$\begin{cases} \dot{u}^\varepsilon(t,x) &= \Delta u^\varepsilon(t,x) + \dfrac{1}{\varepsilon} f(u^\varepsilon(t,x)) + g^\varepsilon(t,x), \quad t > 0,\ x \in D \subset \mathbb{R}^d, \\ u^\varepsilon(0,x) &= u_0(x), \quad x \in D, \\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu}(t,x) &= 0, \quad t > 0,\ x \in \partial D, \end{cases} \tag{1.14}$$

where D is a domain with a smooth boundary. For simplicity, we assume that $g^\varepsilon \equiv 0$ and u_0 satisfies Neumann boundary condition. In a very short time, the effect of the diffusion term, that is Δu^ε, is negligible compared with the other. Thus they considered an ODE:

$$\begin{cases} \dot{Y}(\tau,\xi) = f(Y(\tau,\xi)), \quad \tau > 0, \\ Y(0,\xi) = \xi \in [-2C_0, 2C_0], \end{cases} \tag{1.15}$$

(see (1.5) for the constant C_0), took a positive constant $C_2 > 0$ and defined

$$w_\varepsilon^\pm(t,x) = Y\left(\frac{t}{\varepsilon}, u_0(x) \pm \varepsilon C_2(e^{\frac{\mu t}{\varepsilon}} - 1)\right) \tag{1.16}$$

where μ is defined in (1.6), and proved that $w_\varepsilon^- \le u^\varepsilon \le w_\varepsilon^+$ by applying a comparison theorem for parabolic PDEs until the time of order $O(\varepsilon|\log\varepsilon|)$. And they proved that $w_\varepsilon^\pm$ formed the interfaces. If g^ε is more general or u_0 does not satisfy Neumann boundary condition, we need to modify these arguments slightly. After the generation of interfaces, the diffusion term becomes much larger, and balances with the reaction term. Thus they took another super and sub solutions and connect to the interface motion which called the motion by mean curvature with the effect of non-random external force.

In this paper, we construct super and sub solutions, derive the first generation time and prove the motion of interface in the case that the noise is Q-Brownian motion. In particular, we show after the time $\frac{1}{2\mu}\varepsilon|\log\varepsilon|$, which is generation time in [1], that a long time scale of order $O(\varepsilon^{-2\gamma-1})$ is proper because of the effect of noise. And we connect to the results of [5] by using the strong Markov property. Moreover, in [1], they constructed super and sub solutions applying directly the maximal principle for PDE, however, this is not straightforward because the solution is singular in a time variable. And thus, we prove the comparison theorem of SPDE by approximating solutions smoothly instead. These are the major difference from the result of [1].

We first prepare some estimates in Section 2. In Section 3, we find the SDE which corresponds to SPDEs (1.1) and (1.4), and prove that the solution of this SDE is close to that of ODE (1.15) in order to use the results of the PDE case. We extend the comparison theorem of PDE to SPDE. For this purpose, we smoothly and uniformly approximate the solution of SPDE in Section 4. In Section 5, we apply the comparison theorem to the approximated solution which is constructed in Section 4, and we construct super and sub solutions of u^ε. At last, we give the proof of Theorem 1.1 in Section 6 and the proofs of Theorem 1.2 and Theorem 1.3 in Section 7.

2 Auxiliary results

From this section, we consider the case that the external noise $\dot{W}_t^\varepsilon(x)$ is $\varepsilon^\gamma \dot{W}_t^{Q_d}(x)$ or $\varepsilon^\gamma \dot{W}_t^{Q}(x)$ which are defined in Section 1.

At first, we refer to the conditions of the solutions of (1.1) and (1.4) u^ε; see Section 2 of [5] or Theorem 3.1 of [4].

Proposition 2.1. *If $|u_0^\varepsilon(x)| \le K$ and u^ε is the solution of SPDE (1.1) (resp. (1.4)), then*

$$\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0} P\left(|u^\varepsilon(t,x)| \le \max\{K,1\} + \delta,\ t \in [0,\varepsilon^{-n}],\ x \in D\ (resp.\ x \in \mathbb{R})\right) = 1, \tag{2.1}$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\delta > 0$.

From this result, we see that the solution u^ε takes value in the interval $[-2C_0, 2C_0]$

with high probability. By introducing stopping times

$$\tau_1 := \inf\{t > 0 || u^\varepsilon(t,x)| > 2C_0 \ for\ some\ x \in D\}, \tag{2.2}$$
$$\bar{\tau}_1 := \inf\{t > 0 || u^\varepsilon(t,x)| > 2C_0 \ for\ some\ x \in \mathbb{R}\}, \tag{2.3}$$

we can characterize Proposition 2.1 as $P(\tau_1 > \varepsilon^{-n}) \to 1$ and $P(\bar{\tau}_1 > \varepsilon^{-n}) \to 1$ $(\varepsilon \to 0)$.

Next we refer to some preliminary results of ODE (1.15):

$$\begin{cases} \dot{Y}(\tau,\xi) = f(Y(\tau,\xi)), \quad \tau > 0, \\ Y(0,\xi) = \xi \in [-2C_0, 2C_0]. \end{cases}$$

See [7] for the detailed proofs.

Proposition 2.2. *For any $\alpha > 0$ and $\kappa > 0$, there exists a positive constant $C_1 > \frac{\alpha}{\mu} + \frac{\kappa}{p}$ such that*

$$|Y(C_1|\log\varepsilon|,\xi) - 1| \le \varepsilon^\kappa \quad for\ all\ \xi \in [\varepsilon^\alpha, 2C_0] \tag{2.4}$$
$$|Y(C_1|\log\varepsilon|,\xi) + 1| \le \varepsilon^\kappa \quad for\ all\ \xi \in [-2C_0, -\varepsilon^\alpha] \tag{2.5}$$

for sufficiently small $\varepsilon > 0$ where μ and p are defined in (1.6). The constant C_1 can be taken depending only on α, κ and f.

Here we derive the estimate of two solutions of ODE (1.15) as a corollary of Lemma 2.1 of [7]. We use this estimate in Section 3.

Corollary 2.3. *For all $\alpha > 0$, $\beta > 0$ and $\delta \ge \frac{2\alpha C_f}{\mu}$, there exists a positive constant $C > 0$ such that*
(i) *if $\xi \in [\varepsilon^\alpha, 2C_0 - \varepsilon^{\beta+\delta}]$ then for sufficiently small $\varepsilon > 0$,*

$$Y(\tau, \xi + \varepsilon^{\beta+\delta}) - Y(\tau,\xi) \le \varepsilon^\beta \quad for\ all\ \tau \in [0, C|\log\varepsilon|]. \tag{2.6}$$

(ii) *if $\xi \in [-2C_0 + \varepsilon^{\beta+\delta}, -\varepsilon^\alpha]$ then for sufficiently small $\varepsilon > 0$,*

$$Y(\tau,\xi) - Y(\tau, \xi - \varepsilon^{\beta+\delta}) \le \varepsilon^\beta \quad for\ all\ \tau \in [0, C|\log\varepsilon|]. \tag{2.7}$$

Proof. We only prove (i). At first we take $\eta > 0$ small enough so that $f'(u) < 0$ holds if $u \in [1-\eta, 1+\eta]$. From Lemma 2.1 of [7], $Y(\tau,\xi)$ is in the interval $[1-\eta, 1+\eta]$ by the time $T_\varepsilon := \frac{2\alpha}{\mu}|\log\varepsilon|$. After the time T_ε, $\dot{Y}(\tau,\xi+\varepsilon^{\beta+\delta}) - \dot{Y}(\tau,\xi) = f(Y(\tau,\xi+\varepsilon^{\beta+\delta})) - f(Y(\tau,\xi))$ keeps the sign negative. Thus $Y(\tau,\xi+\varepsilon^{\beta+\delta}) - Y(\tau,\xi)$ declines but does not touch 0 after T_ε. We need to consider the behavior of $Y(\tau,\xi+\varepsilon^{\beta+\delta}) - Y(\tau,\xi)$ before the time T_ε. In the case of $\xi \in [\varepsilon^\alpha, 1-\eta]$, we obtain

$$Y(\tau,\xi+\varepsilon^{\beta+\delta}) - Y(\tau,\xi) \le \varepsilon^{\beta+\delta}\exp(C_f\tau) \le \varepsilon^{\beta+\delta-\frac{2\alpha C_f}{\mu}} \le \varepsilon^\beta \quad for\ all\ \tau \in [0, T_\varepsilon] \tag{2.8}$$

from Gronwall's inequality. It is easy to show (2.8) in the case of $\xi \in [1+\eta, 2C_0]$ because the time at which $Y(\tau, 2C_0)$ is in the interval $[1-\eta, 1+\eta]$ is independent of ε. And thus, we obtain the same estimate as (2.8). □

3 Estimates for SDE

In this section, we prove some estimates for solutions of SDE, the estimates which we often use in this paper. We change the variable t to $\varepsilon\tau$. In order to construct the solutions, we consider the solution of SDE;

$$\begin{cases} \dot{Y}^\varepsilon(\tau,\xi,x) = f(Y^\varepsilon(\tau,\xi,x)) + \varepsilon^{\gamma+\frac{1}{2}}\dot{\widetilde{W}}_\tau^{Q_d}(x), \quad \tau\in(0,\infty), \\ Y^\varepsilon(0,\xi,x) = \xi \in [-2C_0, 2C_0], \end{cases} \tag{3.1}$$

where $\widetilde{W}_\tau^{Q_d}(x) := \varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{2}} W_{\varepsilon\tau}^{Q_d}(x)$ is a Q_d-Brownian motion in law sense. Moreover this process equals to $\sqrt{Q_d(x,x)}W_\tau$ in law sense for each $x \in D$. For simplicity, we denote $\widetilde{W}_\tau^{Q_d}(x)$ as $W_\tau(x)$. In this section, we prove that Y^ε stays close to Y which is the unique solution of the ODE (1.15) for a long time with high probability, and construct the super and sub solutions of the SPDE (1.1) using Y^ε. Now let $\delta > 0$ be the small positive constant such that $f'(x) < 0$ for all $x \in [1-\delta, 1+\delta]$, and let τ_ε be a stopping time defined by

$$\tau_\varepsilon^p := \inf\{\tau > 0 | \|Y^\varepsilon(\tau\wedge\tau_\varepsilon,\xi,\cdot) - Y(\tau\wedge\tau_\varepsilon,\xi)\|_{W^{1,2p}(D)} > \varepsilon^\kappa\}, \tag{3.2}$$

for each $\xi \in [-2C_0, 2C_0]$. And we define a deterministic time as $T_\varepsilon := \frac{1}{\mu}|\log\varepsilon|$. Especially, Y^ε equals to Y if $x \in \mathbb{R}^d \backslash D$. Thus we only consider the case that $x \in D$.

Lemma 3.1. *If $p \in \mathbb{N}$ satisfies $p > \frac{d}{4}$, then the estimate*

$$E\left[\|Y^\varepsilon(\tau_\varepsilon\wedge T_\varepsilon,\xi,\cdot) - Y(\tau_\varepsilon\wedge T_\varepsilon,\xi)\|_{L^{2p}(D)}^{2p}\right] \le O(\varepsilon^{2\gamma+1+\kappa(2p-2)-\frac{2C_f p}{\mu}}|\log\varepsilon|) \tag{3.3}$$

holds for sufficiently small $\varepsilon > 0$.

Proof. From Ito's rule and easy computations, we obtain an estimate as below:

$$\begin{aligned}
&(Y^\varepsilon(\tau\wedge\tau_\varepsilon^p,\xi,x) - Y(\tau\wedge\tau_\varepsilon^p,\xi))^{2p} \\
&\quad\le 2p\int_0^\tau (Y^\varepsilon(s\wedge\tau_\varepsilon^p,\xi,x) - Y(s\wedge\tau_\varepsilon^p,\xi))^{2p-1}(f(Y^\varepsilon(s\wedge\tau_\varepsilon^p,\xi,x)) - f(Y(s\wedge\tau_\varepsilon^p,\xi)))ds \\
&\quad+ 2p\varepsilon^{\gamma+\frac{1}{2}}\int_0^{\tau\wedge\tau_\varepsilon^p} (Y^\varepsilon(s,\xi,x) - Y(s,\xi))^{2p-1}dW_s(x) \\
&\quad+ p(2p-1)\varepsilon^{2\gamma+1}Q_d(x,x)\int_0^\tau (Y^\varepsilon(s\wedge\tau_\varepsilon^p,\xi,x) - Y(s\wedge\tau_\varepsilon^p,\xi))^{2p-2}ds \\
&\quad\le 2C_f p\int_0^\tau (Y^\varepsilon(s\wedge\tau_\varepsilon^p,\xi,x) - Y(s\wedge\tau_\varepsilon^p,\xi))^{2p}ds \\
&\quad+ 2p\varepsilon^{\gamma+\frac{1}{2}}\int_0^{\tau\wedge\tau_\varepsilon^p} (Y^\varepsilon(s,\xi,x) - Y(s,\xi))^{2p-1}dW_s(x) \\
&\quad+ p(2p-1)\varepsilon^{2\gamma+1}Q_d(x,x)\int_0^\tau (Y^\varepsilon(s\wedge\tau_\varepsilon^p,\xi,x) - Y(s\wedge\tau_\varepsilon^p,\xi))^{2p-2}ds.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

For the second inequality, we use the fact that Y^ε never goes out of some interval until the time τ_ε, because we can use Sobolev embedding $W^{1,2p} \hookrightarrow L^\infty$ to $Y^\varepsilon - Y$ for $2p > \frac{d}{2}$, and Y is bounded. We apply Fubini's theorem to the integral $\int_{\mathbb{R}} \cdot dx$ and $E[\cdot]$ and obtain

$$\begin{aligned} E[\|Y^\varepsilon(\tau \wedge \tau_\varepsilon, \xi, x) - Y(\tau \wedge \tau_\varepsilon, \xi)\|_{L^{2p}}^{2p}] \\ \leq 2C_f p \int_0^\tau E[\|Y^\varepsilon(s \wedge \tau_\varepsilon, \xi, x) - Y(s \wedge \tau_\varepsilon, \xi)\|_{L^{2p}}^{2p}] ds \\ + p(2p-1)\varepsilon^{2\gamma+1+\kappa(2p-2)} \|Q_d(\cdot,\cdot)\|_{L^1} \tau. \end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

By applying Gronwall's inequality to $E[\|Y^\varepsilon(\tau \wedge \tau_\varepsilon, \xi, \cdot) - Y_\tau(\tau \wedge \tau_\varepsilon, \xi)\|_{L^{2p}}^{2p}]$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} E[\|Y^\varepsilon(T_\varepsilon \wedge \tau_\varepsilon, \xi, \cdot) - Y(T_\varepsilon \wedge \tau_\varepsilon, \xi)\|_{L^{2p}}^{2p}] \\ \leq p(2p-1)C\varepsilon^{2\gamma+1+\kappa(2p-2)-\frac{2C_f p}{\mu}} \|Q_d(\cdot,\cdot)\|_{L^1} |\log \varepsilon|. \end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

This completes the proof of this lemma. □

We define the derivative of $Y^\varepsilon - Y$ with respect to $x \in D$. We set $Z_i^\varepsilon(\tau, \xi, x) := \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} Y^\varepsilon$ $(i = 1, \cdots, d)$ and can show that the process obeys the SDE;

$$\begin{cases} \dot{Z}_i^\varepsilon(\tau, \xi, x) = f'(Y^\varepsilon(\tau, \xi, x)) Z_i^\varepsilon(\tau, \xi, x) + \varepsilon^{\gamma+\frac{1}{2}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \dot{W}_\tau(x), \quad \tau \in (0, \infty), \\ Z_i^\varepsilon(0, \xi, x) = 0. \end{cases} \tag{3.7}$$

from the SDE (3.1). Here the symbol $\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}\dot{W}_\tau(x)$ denotes formal derivative in time t of $\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} W_\tau(x)$ which means the derivative of $W_\tau(x)$ in a space variable x_i. Especially the derivative $\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} W_\tau(x)$ equals to $\sqrt{Q_i(x,x)} W_\tau$ in law sense where $Q_i(x,y) := \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}\frac{\partial}{\partial y_i} Q_d(x,y)$ and W_τ is a one-dimensional Brownian motion.

Lemma 3.2. *If $p \in \mathbb{N}$ satisfies $p > \frac{d}{4}$, then the estimate*

$$E\left[\sum_{i=1}^d \|Z_i^\varepsilon(\tau_\varepsilon \wedge T_\varepsilon, \xi, \cdot)\|_{L^{2p}(D)}^{2p}\right] \leq O(\varepsilon^{2\gamma+1+\kappa(2p-2)-\frac{2C_f p}{\mu}} |\log \varepsilon|) \tag{3.8}$$

holds for sufficiently small $\varepsilon > 0$.

Proof. Applying Ito's rule to $(Z_i^\varepsilon)^{2p}$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} (Z_i^\varepsilon(\tau \wedge \tau_\varepsilon, \xi, x))^{2p} = 2p \int_0^{\tau \wedge \tau_\varepsilon} f'(Y^\varepsilon(s, \xi, x))(Z_i^\varepsilon(s, \xi, x))^{2p} ds \\ + 2p\varepsilon^{\gamma+\frac{1}{2}} \int_0^{\tau \wedge \tau_\varepsilon} Z_i^\varepsilon(s, \xi, x)^{2p-1} d\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} W_s(x) \\ + p(2p-1)\varepsilon^{2\gamma+1} Q_i(x,x) \int_0^{\tau \wedge \tau_\varepsilon} (Z_i^\varepsilon(s, \xi, x))^{2p-2} ds. \end{aligned} \tag{3.9}$$

The rest of the proof is similar to that of Lemma 3.1. □

Proposition 3.3. *If there exists $p \in \mathbb{N}$ which satisfies $p > \frac{d}{4}$ and $2\gamma + 1 + \kappa(2p-5) - (\alpha+p)\frac{2C_f}{\mu} > 0$, then there exists $C > 0$ such that*

$$P\left(\|Y^\varepsilon - Y\|_\infty \le C\varepsilon^\kappa\right) \to 1 \tag{3.10}$$

as $\varepsilon \to 0$, where the norm $\|\cdot\|_\infty$ is a supremum norm on $\tau \in [0, T_\varepsilon]$, $\xi \in [\varepsilon^\alpha, 2C_0]$ and $x \in D$.

Proof. Using Chebyschev inequality, we obtain the estimate

$$\begin{aligned} P(\tau_\varepsilon \le T_\varepsilon) &\le \varepsilon^{-2\kappa} E[\|Y^\varepsilon(\tau_\varepsilon \wedge T_\varepsilon, \xi, \cdot) - Y(\tau_\varepsilon \wedge T_\varepsilon, \xi)\|^2_{W^{1,2p}}] \\ &\le C\varepsilon^{2\gamma+1+\kappa(2p-2)-\frac{2C_f p}{\mu}-2\kappa} |\log\varepsilon| \left\{ \|Q_d(\cdot,\cdot)\|_{L^1} + \sum_{i=1}^d \|Q_i(\cdot,\cdot)\|_{L^1} \right\} \end{aligned} \tag{3.11}$$

from Lemma 3.1 and 3.2. There exists a positive constant $C' > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} &P\left(\sup_{\tau\in[0,T_\varepsilon]} \|Y^\varepsilon(\tau,\xi,\cdot) - Y(\tau,\xi)\|_{L^\infty} \le C\varepsilon^\kappa \right) \\ &\ge P\left(\sup_{\tau\in[0,T_\varepsilon]} \|Y^\varepsilon(\tau,\xi,\cdot) - Y(\tau,\xi)\|_{W^{1,2p}} \le \varepsilon^\kappa \right) = P(\tau_\varepsilon \ge T_\varepsilon) \\ &\ge 1 - C'\varepsilon^{2\gamma+1+\kappa(2p-4)-\frac{2C_f p}{\mu}} |\log\varepsilon| \left\{ \|Q_d(\cdot,\cdot)\|_{L^1} + \sum_{i=1}^d \|Q_i(\cdot,\cdot)\|_{L^1} \right\} \end{aligned} \tag{3.12}$$

each fixed $\xi \in [\varepsilon^\alpha, 2C_0]$. We use Sobolev embedding in the first line and the positive constant C comes from Sobolev inequality. We note that the norm $\|\cdot\|_{L^\infty}$ means the supremum norm on $x \in D$. We need to estimate the supremum which is concerned with $\xi \in [\varepsilon^\alpha, 2C_0]$. We define events

$$\Omega^\varepsilon_\xi := \{\omega \in \Omega | \sup_{\tau\in[0,T_\varepsilon]} \|Y^\varepsilon(\tau,\xi,\cdot)(\omega) - Y(\tau,\xi)\|_{L^\infty} \le C\varepsilon^\kappa\}. \tag{3.13}$$

At first, we fix the path $\omega \in \Omega_\xi \cap \Omega_{\xi+\varepsilon^{\kappa+\theta}}$ where $\theta := \frac{2C_f\tilde{\alpha}}{\mu}$ for small $\tilde{\alpha} > \alpha$, and the initial value ξ and $\xi + \varepsilon^{\kappa+\theta}$ is in the interval $[\varepsilon^\alpha, 2C_0]$. For all $\tau \in [0, T_\varepsilon]$, $\xi' \in [\xi, \xi + \varepsilon^{\kappa+\theta}]$ and $x \in D$, we can compare as below.

$$Y^\varepsilon(\tau,\xi,x)(\omega) \le Y^\varepsilon(\tau,\xi',x)(\omega) \le Y^\varepsilon(\tau,\xi+\varepsilon^{\kappa+\theta},x)(\omega), \tag{3.14}$$

$$Y(\tau,\xi) \le Y(\tau,\xi') \le Y(\tau,\xi+\varepsilon^{\kappa+\theta}). \tag{3.15}$$

These inequalities are from the comparison of initial value for SDE and ODE respectively. From (3.12) and Corollary 2.3, we can show that

$$|Y^\varepsilon(\tau,\xi',x)(\omega) - Y(\tau,\xi')| \le 3C\varepsilon^\kappa \tag{3.16}$$

holds for all $\tau \in [0, T_\varepsilon]$, $\xi' \in [\xi, \xi + \varepsilon^{\kappa+\theta}]$, $x \in D$ and $\omega \in \Omega_\xi \cap \Omega_{\xi+\varepsilon^{\kappa+\theta}}$. Repeating these argument, we get

$$P\left(\|Y^\varepsilon - Y\|_\infty \le C\varepsilon^\kappa\right) \ge 1 - C'\varepsilon^{2\gamma+1+\kappa(2p-4)-\frac{2C_f p}{\mu}} |\log\varepsilon| \left(\left[\frac{2C_0}{\varepsilon^{\kappa+\theta}}\right] + 1\right) \left\{\|Q_d(\cdot,\cdot)\|_{L^1} + \sum_{i=1}^{d} \|Q_i(\cdot,\cdot)\|_{L^1}\right\}, \tag{3.17}$$

where $\|\cdot\|_\infty$ is a supremum norm on $\tau \in [0, T_\varepsilon]$, $\xi \in [\varepsilon^\alpha, 2C_0]$ and $x \in D$, and thus the left hand side of (3.17) tends to 0 as $\varepsilon \to 0$. □

We note that we can remove the constant $C > 0$ from Sobolev inequality. We can assert the same statements as Proposition 3.3 in the case of $\xi \in [-2C_0, -\varepsilon^\alpha]$. To sum up these results, we state next proposition.

Proposition 3.4. *If there exists $p \in \mathbb{N}$ which satisfies $p > \frac{d}{4}$ and $2\gamma + 1 + \kappa(2p-5) - (\alpha+p)\frac{2C_f}{\mu} > 0$, then we have that*

$$P\left(\|Y^\varepsilon - Y\|_\infty \le \varepsilon^\kappa\right) \to 1 \tag{3.18}$$

as $\varepsilon \to 0$, where $\|\cdot\|_\infty$ is a supreme norm on $\tau \in [0, \frac{1}{\mu}|\log\varepsilon|]$, $\xi \in [-2C_0, 2C_0]\backslash(-\varepsilon^\alpha, \varepsilon^\alpha)$ and $x \in D$.

If we set a stopping time

$$\tau_2 = \inf\{\tau > 0 | |Y^\varepsilon - Y| > \varepsilon^\kappa \ for\ some\ \xi \in [-2C_0, 2C_0]\backslash(-\varepsilon^\alpha, \varepsilon^\alpha),\ x \in D\}, \tag{3.19}$$

then the statement of Proposition 3.4 is equivalent to $\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0} P(\tau_2 > \frac{1}{\mu}|\log\varepsilon|) = 1$. Now we consider the time $t \le \varepsilon\tau_2$ set functions as below:

$$w_\varepsilon^\pm(t,x) = Y^\varepsilon\left(\frac{t}{\varepsilon}, u_0^\pm(x) \pm \varepsilon C_2\left(e^{\frac{\mu t}{\varepsilon}} - 1\right), x\right). \tag{3.20}$$

Here the function $u_0^\pm$ can be taken satisfying (1.3) the Neumann boundary condition on ∂D, $u_0^- \le u_0 \le u_0^+$ and $u_0^- = u_0 = u_0^+$ if $x \in D$ and $d(x, \partial D) \ge d_1$ for sufficiently small $d_1 > 0$. This is discussed in Section 3.3 of [1].

4 Smooth approximation

Now we need to prove that $w_\varepsilon^\pm(t,x)$ are super and sub solutions of (1.4). However, because $\dot{W}_t(x)$ is the formal derivative in time t and singular in time, we cannot directly use the comparison theorem of PDE for each path of the solutions. Thus we approximate these solutions smoothly, and use the comparison theorem to these smooth solutions $u^{\varepsilon,\delta}(t,x)$ and $w_{\varepsilon,\delta}^\pm(t,x)$. We mention the definition of $u^{\varepsilon,\delta}(t,x)$ and $w_{\varepsilon,\delta}^\pm(t,x)$ later. We consider

a smooth approximation $W_t^{(\delta)}(x)$ of $W_t^{Q_d}(x)$. First, we define $W_t^{\delta}(x)$ for $\delta > 0$ as a convolution

$$W_t^{\delta}(x) := \int_0^t W_s^{Q_d}(x)\rho_\delta(t-s)ds, \quad t \geq 0,\ x \in D \tag{4.1}$$

where $\rho_\delta(t) := \frac{1}{\delta}\rho(\frac{t}{\delta})$, and ρ satisfies the following conditions.

$$\begin{cases} \text{(i)} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \rho(t)dt = 1, \\ \text{(ii)} \mathrm{supp}\rho \subset [0,1], \\ \text{(iii)} \rho \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}). \end{cases} \tag{4.2}$$

Then, we can take $W_t^{(\delta)}(x)(\omega)$ which converges to $W_t^{Q_d}(x)(\omega)$ uniformly on $[0,\infty)\times\Omega$ $(a.s.)$ as $\delta \to 0$ by changing the speed of convergence δ in $W_t^{\delta}(x)$ for each ω.

Lemma 4.1. *For every $\alpha \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$ and $\beta \in (0,\infty)$ there exists almost surely finite and positive random variable $K(\omega)$ such that*

$$|W_t^{Q_d}(x)(\omega) - W_s^{Q_d}(y)(\omega)| \leq K(\omega)\{|t-s|^\alpha + |x-y|^\beta\}, \quad t,s \in [0,1],\ x \in D \tag{4.3}$$

holds almost surely.

Proof. From the differentiability and the boundedness of $Q_d(x,x)$, we can easily show that

$$E[(W_t^{Q_d}(x) - W_s^{Q_d}(y))^2] \leq C\{|t-s| + |x-y|^\beta\} \tag{4.4}$$

for all $\beta > 0$. Because $\{W_t^{Q_d}(x)\}$ is a Gaussian system, we can apply Kolmogorov-Totoki's criterion and show the claim of this lemma. See Lemma 2.3 and Corollary 2.1 of [6] for the similar argument. □

For any $\alpha \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$ and $x = y$ in Lemma 4.1, easy computations give us

$$\begin{aligned} |W_t^{Q_d}(x) - W_t^{\delta}(x)| &= \left| \int_0^t W_t^{Q_d}(x)\rho_\delta(t-s)ds - \int_0^t W_s^{Q_d}(x)\rho_\delta(t-s)ds \right| \\ &\leq \int_0^t |W_t^{Q_d}(x) - W_s^{Q_d}(x)|\rho_\delta(t-s)ds \leq K(\omega)\delta^\alpha. \end{aligned} \tag{4.5}$$

Thus we set $\delta(\omega) := (\frac{\delta}{K(\omega)})^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}$ and define $W_t^{(\delta)}(\omega) := W_t^{\delta(\omega)}(x)(\omega)$, then $W_t^{(\delta)}(x)$ is the measurable process and we have that $\sup_{t\in[0,\infty)} |W_t^{Q_d}(x) - W_t^{(\delta)}(x)| \leq \delta$ almost surely. Now we set the random variable $K(\omega)$ large enough $\sup_{t\in[0,\infty)} |\partial_x W_t^{Q_d}(x) - \partial_x W_t^{(\delta)}(x)| \leq \delta$ and $\sup_{t\in[0,\infty)} |\partial_{xx} W_t^{Q_d}(x) - \partial_{xx} W_t^{(\delta)}(x)| \leq \delta$ to hold. Now we change the variable t to $\varepsilon\tau$ and consider a solution of the following ODE:

$$\begin{cases} \dot{Y}^{\varepsilon,\delta}(\tau,\xi,x) = f(Y^{\varepsilon,\delta}(\tau,\xi,x)) + \varepsilon^{\gamma+\frac{1}{2}}\dot{\widetilde{W}}_\tau^{(\delta)}(x), \quad \tau > 0, \\ Y^{\varepsilon,\delta}(0,\xi,x) = \xi \in [-2C_0, 2C_0]. \end{cases} \tag{4.6}$$

For simplicity, we denote the process $\widetilde{W}_\tau^{(\delta)}(x) := \varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{2}} W_{\varepsilon\tau}^{(\delta)}(x)$ as $W_\tau^{(\delta)}(x)$ in (4.6). And we set

$$w_{\varepsilon,\delta}^{\pm}(t,x) = Y^{\varepsilon,\delta}\left(\frac{t}{\varepsilon}, u_0^{\pm}(x) \pm \varepsilon C_2\left(e^{\frac{\mu t}{\varepsilon}} - 1\right), x\right). \tag{4.7}$$

Lemma 4.2. *We set a stopping time*

$$\tau_3 := \inf\{\tau > 0 | |Y^{\varepsilon,\delta}(\tau,\xi,x)| > 2C_0 + \delta' \text{ for some } \xi \in [-2C_0, 2C_0],\ x \in D \text{ or } \delta \in (0,\delta_\varepsilon]\}, \tag{4.8}$$

for fixed $\delta' > 0$. If $2\gamma + 1 > 2C_f C_1$, then for every sequence $\{\delta_\varepsilon\}$ which tends to 0 as $\varepsilon \to 0$, we have that $\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0} P(\tau_3 > C_1|\log\varepsilon|) = 1$.

Proof. Unless $x \in D$, $Y^{\varepsilon,\delta}$ equals to the solutions of ODE Y, and thus we only consider the case that $x \in D$. Now we set

$$\tilde{\tau}_3 := \inf\{\tau > 0 | \|Y^{\varepsilon,\delta}(\tau,\xi,\cdot)\|_{W^{1,2p}} > C^{-1}(2C_0 + \delta') \text{ for some } \xi \in [-2C_0, 2C_0] \text{ or } \delta \in (0,\delta_\varepsilon]\}, \tag{4.9}$$

where the positive constant $C > 0$ comes from Sobolev's inequality $\|\varphi\|_{L^\infty} \le C\|\varphi\|_{W^{1,2p}}$ for $\varphi \in W^{1,2p}(D)$ for $p > \frac{d}{4}$. We note that $\tilde{\tau}_3 \le \tau_3$ almost surely. At first, we get

$$|Y_{\tau\wedge\tilde{\tau}_3}^{\varepsilon,\delta}| \le C_f \int_0^{\tau\wedge\tilde{\tau}_3} |Y_s^{\varepsilon,\delta}| ds + \varepsilon^{\gamma+\frac{1}{2}} |W_{\tau\wedge\tilde{\tau}_3}^{(\delta)}(x)|, \tag{4.10}$$

and Gronwall's inequality and definition of $W_\tau^{(\delta)}(x)$ give us

$$|Y_{\tau\wedge\tilde{\tau}_3}^{\varepsilon,\delta}| \le \exp(C_f\tau)\varepsilon^{\gamma+\frac{1}{2}}\left(|W_{\tau\wedge\tilde{\tau}_3}(x)| + \delta_\varepsilon\right), \tag{4.11}$$

if $\delta \in (0,\delta_\varepsilon]$. In the same way, we obtain

$$|Z_i^{\varepsilon,\delta}(\tau\wedge\tilde{\tau}_3)| \le \exp(C_f\tau)\varepsilon^{\gamma+\frac{1}{2}}(|\partial_{x_i} W_{\tau\wedge\tilde{\tau}_3}(x)| + \delta_\varepsilon). \tag{4.12}$$

Here we define $Z_i^{\varepsilon,\delta}$ similarly to Z_i^ε in Section 3. To sum up these estimates, we get

$$\begin{aligned} &E[\|Y_{\tau\wedge\tilde{\tau}_3}^{\varepsilon,\delta}\|_{W^{1,2p}}^{2p}] \\ &\le \exp(2pC_f\tau)\varepsilon^{p(2\gamma+1)} C\left\{\left(\|Q_d(\cdot,\cdot)\|_{L^{2p}}^{2p} + \sum_{i=1}^d \|Q_i(\cdot,\cdot)\|_{L^{2p}}^{2p}\right)\tau + \delta_\varepsilon^{2p}\right\}, \end{aligned} \tag{4.13}$$

for some constant $C > 0$ by using an inequality $(a+b)^{2p} \le 2^{2p}(a^{2p}+b^{2p})$. From Chebyshev's inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} &P(\tau_3 \le C_1|\log\varepsilon|) \le P(\tilde{\tau}_3 \le C_1|\log\varepsilon|) \le C^2(2C_0+\delta')^{-2} E[\|Y_{C_1|\log\varepsilon|\wedge\tilde{\tau}_3}^{\varepsilon,\delta}\|_{W^{1,2p}}^{2p}] \\ &\le C^2(2C_0+\delta')^{-2}\varepsilon^{p(2\gamma+1-2C_fC_1)}\left\{\left(\|Q_d(\cdot,\cdot)\|_{L^{2p}}^{2p} + \sum_{i=1}^d \|Q_i(\cdot,\cdot)\|_{L^{2p}}^{2p}\right)\tau + \delta_\varepsilon^{2p}\right\} \end{aligned} \tag{4.14}$$

completes the proof of the claim. □

Proposition 4.3. *If we set $\tau_4 := \tau_2 \wedge \tau_3$, then there exists a sequence $\{\delta_\varepsilon\}$ which tends to 0 as $\varepsilon \to 0$, and*

$$\sup_{x\in D}\sup_{\tau\in[0,C_1|\log\varepsilon|\wedge\tau_4]}\sup_{\xi\in[-2C_0,2C_0]}\left|Y^{\varepsilon,\delta}(\tau,\xi,x)-Y^{\varepsilon}(\tau,\xi,x)\right| \le \varepsilon^{\gamma-C_fC_1}\delta \text{ for all } \delta\in(0,\delta_\varepsilon] \tag{4.15}$$

holds P-a.s.

Proof. At first, we note that $P(\tau_4 \ge C_1|\log\varepsilon|) \to 1$ as $\varepsilon \to 0$ from Section 3 and Lemma 4.2. And we have that

$$\begin{aligned}\left|Y^{\varepsilon,\delta}_{\tau\wedge\tau_4}-Y^{\varepsilon}_{\tau\wedge\tau_4}\right| &\le \int_0^{\tau\wedge\tau_4}\left|f(Y^{\varepsilon,\delta}_s)-f(Y^{\varepsilon}_s)\right|ds+\varepsilon^{\gamma+\frac{1}{2}}|W^{(\delta)}_\tau(x)-W_\tau(x)|\\ &\le C_f\int_0^{\tau}\left|Y^{\varepsilon,\delta}_{s\wedge\tau_4}-Y^{\varepsilon}_{s\wedge\tau_4}\right|ds+\varepsilon^{\gamma+\frac{1}{2}}\delta\end{aligned} \tag{4.16}$$

for each $x \in D$ and $\xi \in [-2C_0, 2C_0]$ because Y^ε and $Y^{\varepsilon,\delta}$ do not go out of $[-2C_0-\delta', 2C_0+\delta']$ until the time τ_4. From Gronwall's inequality, for all $\tau \in [0, C_1|\log\varepsilon|]$ we have that

$$\left|Y^{\varepsilon,\delta}(\tau\wedge\tau_4,\xi,x)-Y^{\varepsilon}(\tau\wedge\tau_4,\xi,x)\right| \le \varepsilon^{\gamma-C_fC_1}\delta, \tag{4.17}$$

for each $x \in D$ and $\xi \in [-2C_0, 2C_0]$. □

We define $u^{\varepsilon,\delta}$ as a solution of PDE:

$$\begin{cases}\dot{u}^{\varepsilon,\delta}(t,x) &= \Delta u^{\varepsilon,\delta}(t,x)+\dfrac{1}{\varepsilon}f(u^{\varepsilon,\delta}(t,x))+\varepsilon^{\gamma}\dot{W}^{(\delta)}_t(x), \quad t>0,\ x\in D,\\ u^{\varepsilon,\delta}(0,x) &= u_0(x), \quad x\in D,\\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial\nu}(t,x) &= 0, \quad t>0,\ x\in\partial D\end{cases} \tag{4.18}$$

Now we prove the similar estimate to (4.15) for $u^{\varepsilon,\delta}$.

Lemma 4.4. *If we set a stopping time*

$$\tau_5 := \inf\{t>0 \,||u^{\varepsilon,\delta}(t,x)|>2C_0 \text{ for some } x\in D \text{ or } \delta\in(0,\delta_\varepsilon]\}, \tag{4.19}$$

then there exists a sequence $\{\delta_\varepsilon\}$ which tends to 0 as $\varepsilon \to 0$, such that $\lim_{\varepsilon\to0} P(\tau_5 > \varepsilon^{-n}) = 1$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. We can prove the claim by modifying the proof of Proposition 2.1. Indeed, from

the integration by parts for the normal integral and the stochastic integral, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left|\int_D\int_0^t p(t-s,x,y)dW_s^{(\delta)}(y)dy - \int_D\int_0^t p(t-s,x,y)dW_s(y)dy\right| \\
&\quad= \left|(W_t^{(\delta)}(x)-W_t(x)) - \int_D\int_0^t \partial_s p(t-s,x,y)(W_s^{(\delta)}(y)-W_s(y))dsdy\right| \\
&\quad= \left|(W_t^{(\delta)}(x)-W_t(x)) + \int_D\int_0^t \Delta_y p(t-s,x,y)(W_s^{(\delta)}(y)-W_s(y))dsdy\right| \\
&\quad= \left|(W_t^{(\delta)}(x)-W_t(x)) + \int_D\int_0^t p(t-s,x,y)\Delta_y(W_s^{(\delta)}(y)-W_s(y))dsdy\right| \\
&\quad\le \delta + \int_D\int_0^t p(t-s,x,y)dsdy\delta = (t+1)\delta, \qquad (4.20)
\end{aligned}$$

where $p(t,x,y)$ defines the heat semigroup $e^{\Delta t}$ on $L^2(D)$ as $(e^{\Delta t}\varphi)(x) := \int_D \varphi(y)p(t,x,y)dy$. We use the condition of the heat kernel in the third line and use Green's divergence theorem in the forth line. Indeed, from Green's theorem, the integration on the boundary ∂D

$$\int_{\partial D}\int_0^t \left\{\partial_\nu p(t-s,x)(W_s^{(\delta)}-W_s) - p(t-s,x)\partial_\nu(W_s^{(\delta)}-W_s)\right\}dsd\nu \qquad (4.21)$$

appears where ν is the exterior unit normal vector on ∂D. However, this term is vanished because of the Neumann boundary condition of $p(t,x,\cdot)$ and the condition $\mathrm{supp}Q \subset D\times D$. The computation in the second line comes from the fact that $\int_D p(t,x,y)\varphi(y)dy \to \varphi(x)$ as $t\to 0$ for all $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(D)$. We note that for each $\omega\in\Omega$ and $t\in[0,\infty)$, $W_t^{(\delta)}(x)$ and $W_t(x)$ are in the class of $C_0^\infty(D)$. These estimates imply that the stochastic convolution term never harm in the proof of Proposition 2.1 if we take $\delta_\varepsilon \ll \varepsilon^n$. □

We only need to see the time $C_1\varepsilon|\log\varepsilon|$ in this section. However, we need a same estimate of longer time in Section 7. Thus we take the time ε^{-n} which is much longer than $C_1\varepsilon|\log\varepsilon|$ in Lemma 4.4.

Proposition 4.5. *If we set $\tau_6 := \tau_1\wedge\tau_5$, then for every sequence $\{\delta_\varepsilon\}$ which tends to 0 as $\varepsilon\to 0$ and*

$$\sup_{t\in[0,C_1\varepsilon|\log\varepsilon|\wedge\tau_6]}\sup_{x\in D}|u^{\varepsilon,\delta}(t,x)-u^\varepsilon(t,x)| \le \varepsilon^{1+\gamma-C_fC_1}|\log\varepsilon|\delta \ \textit{for all}\ \delta\in(0,\delta_\varepsilon] \qquad (4.22)$$

holds P-a.s.

Proof. At first, we consider the mild form of $u^{\varepsilon,\delta}(t,x)(\omega)-u^\varepsilon(t,x)(\omega)$. Then, since the initial values are same, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
u^{\varepsilon,\delta}(t,x)-u^\varepsilon(t,x) = \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\int_0^t\int_D p(t-s,x,y)\{f(u^{\varepsilon,\delta}(s,y))-f(u^\varepsilon(s,y))\}dyds \\
+\varepsilon^\gamma\int_D\int_0^t p(t-s,x,y)dW_s^{(\delta)}(y)dy \\
-\varepsilon^\gamma\int_D\int_0^t p(t-s,x,y)dW_s(y)dy \qquad (4.23)
\end{aligned}$$

where $p(t,x,y)$ is defined in the proof of Lemma 4.4. From the estimate (4.20) in the proof of Lemma 4.4 and the definition of τ_6, we get

$$\begin{aligned}|u^{\varepsilon,\delta}(t,x)-u^{\varepsilon}(t,x)| &\le \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\int_0^t\int_D p(t-s,x,y)C_f|u^{\varepsilon,\delta}(s,y)-u^{\varepsilon}(s,y)|dyds+\varepsilon^{\gamma}(t+1)\delta\\ &\le \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\int_0^t\int_D p(t-s,x,y)C_f\left(\sup_{z\in D}|u^{\varepsilon,\delta}(s,z)-u^{\varepsilon}(s,z)|\right)dyds+\varepsilon^{\gamma}(t+1)\delta\\ &\le \frac{C_f}{\varepsilon}\int_0^t\left(\sup_{x\in D}|u^{\varepsilon,\delta}(s,x)-u^{\varepsilon}(s,x)|\right)ds+C_1\varepsilon^{1+\gamma}|\log\varepsilon|\delta \qquad (4.24)\end{aligned}$$

for each $t\in[0,C_1\varepsilon|\log\varepsilon|\wedge\tau_6]$, because the integration of the heat kernel $p(t,x,y)$ with respect to $y\in D$ is 1. If we take the supremum of the left hand side on $x\in D$, (4.24) becomes the form which we can apply Gronwall's inequality to $\sup_{x\in D}|u^{\varepsilon,\delta}(t,x)-u^{\varepsilon}(t,x)|$. And thus we obtain the following estimates by Gronwall's inequality.

$$\sup_{x\in D}|u^{\varepsilon,\delta}(t,x)-u^{\varepsilon}(t,x)|\le C\varepsilon^{1+\gamma-C_fC_1}|\log\varepsilon|\delta. \qquad (4.25)$$

In the same way as the proof of Proposition 4.3, we can prove the claim of this lemma. □

5 Comparison arguments

In this section, we apply the comparison theorem for PDE to $u^{\varepsilon,\delta}$ and $w^{\pm}_{\varepsilon,\delta}$. And we prove that $u^{\varepsilon,\delta}$ and $w^{\pm}_{\varepsilon,\delta}$ converge to u^{ε} and $w^{\pm}_{\varepsilon}$ uniformly from the results of Section 4. Our claim in this section is formulated in the following Theorem. The argument in this section is based on the methods of Alfaro et al [1].

Proposition 5.1. *For every* $0<C_1<\frac{1}{\mu}$,

$$w^{-}_{\varepsilon}(t,x)\le u^{\varepsilon}(t,x)\le w^{+}_{\varepsilon}(t,x)\ \textit{for every}\ t\in[0,C_1\varepsilon|\log\varepsilon|\wedge\varepsilon\tau_4\wedge\tau_6\wedge\varepsilon\tau_7],\ x\in D \quad (5.1)$$

holds P-a.s.

5.1 Auxiliary estimates

Before proving the proposition, we need to prove some lemmas as preparations in advance. We define the following stochastic process.

$$A^{\varepsilon,\delta}(\tau,\xi,x):=\frac{Y^{\varepsilon,\delta}_{\xi\xi}(\tau,\xi,x)}{Y^{\varepsilon,\delta}_{\xi}(\tau,\xi,x)}, \qquad (5.2)$$

where $Y^{\varepsilon,\delta}_{\xi}$ and $Y^{\varepsilon,\delta}_{\xi\xi}$ mean the derivatives of $Y^{\varepsilon,\delta}$ with respect to ξ. Because $W^{(\delta)}_{\tau}(x)$ is independent of ξ, we can compute $Y^{\varepsilon,\delta}_{\xi}$ and $Y^{\varepsilon,\delta}_{\xi\xi}$ from the form of the ODE (4.6). We get an ODE

$$\begin{cases}Y^{\varepsilon,\delta}_{\xi\tau}(\tau,\xi,x)=Y^{\varepsilon,\delta}_{\xi}(\tau,\xi,x)f'(Y^{\varepsilon,\delta}(\tau,\xi,x)), & \tau>0,\ x\in D\\ Y^{\varepsilon,\delta}_{\xi}(0,\xi,x)=1,\end{cases} \qquad (5.3)$$

and we obtain

$$Y_\xi^{\varepsilon,\delta}(\tau,\xi,x) = \exp\left(\int_0^\tau f'\left(Y^{\varepsilon,\delta}(s,\xi,x)\right) ds\right), \quad \tau \geq 0,\ x \in D \tag{5.4}$$

from (5.3). In particular, $Y_\xi^{\varepsilon,\delta} > 0$ allows us to define $A^{\varepsilon,\delta}(\tau,\xi,x)$ as in (5.2). Now we get

$$A^{\varepsilon,\delta}(\tau,\xi,x) = \int_0^\tau Y_\xi^{\varepsilon,\delta}(s,\xi,x) f''\left(Y^{\varepsilon,\delta}(s,\xi,x)\right) ds, \quad \tau \geq 0,\ x \in D \tag{5.5}$$

by computing $Y_{\xi\xi}^{\varepsilon,\delta}$ from (5.4). Now we derive estimates on $A^{\varepsilon,\delta}$ and use these estimates for the proof of Proposition 5.1. We divide into two cases. At first, we prove the case that $\xi > C\varepsilon^\alpha$ is less than 1.

Lemma 5.2. *For all $\eta \in (0,1)$, there exists a sequence $\{\delta_\varepsilon\}$ which tends to 0 as $\varepsilon \to 0$ and $C_3(\eta) > 0$ such that*

$$\sup_{\delta\in(0,\delta_\varepsilon)} \sup_{\xi\in(C\varepsilon^\alpha,1-\eta)} \sup_{x\in D} |A^{\varepsilon,\delta}(\tau,\xi,x)| \leq C_3(e^{\mu\tau}-1) \text{ for all } \tau \in [0, C_1|\log\varepsilon| \wedge T_1 \wedge \tau_4] \tag{5.6}$$

hold P-a.s. Here, let T_1 be the time defined by $T_1 := \inf\{\tau \geq 0 | Y(\tau,\xi) = 1-\eta\}$.

Proof. We fix a time $\tau \in [0, C_1|\log\varepsilon| \wedge T_1 \wedge \tau_4]$. By applying Lemma 3.4 in [1], we can assert that there exist positive constants $\widetilde{C}_1(\eta), \widetilde{C}_2(\eta) > 0$ such that

$$\widetilde{C}_1 e^{\mu\tau} \leq Y_\xi(\tau,\xi) \leq \widetilde{C}_2 e^{\mu\tau} \tag{5.7}$$

before the time T_1. And we define $\delta_\varepsilon := \exp(-\varepsilon^{-\beta})$ for any $\beta > 0$, then we obtain for all $\delta \in (0,\delta_\varepsilon)$, $\tau \in [0, C_1|\log\varepsilon| \wedge \tau_4]$, $\xi \in (C\varepsilon^\alpha, 1-\eta)$ and $x \in D$

$$|Y^{\varepsilon,\delta}(\tau,\xi,x) - Y^\varepsilon(\tau,\xi,x)| \leq \varepsilon^{\gamma - C_f C_1}\delta \leq \varepsilon^\gamma \exp(-\varepsilon^{-\beta}) \leq C\varepsilon^\kappa \tag{5.8}$$

from (4.15) of Proposition 4.3 for sufficiently small $\varepsilon > 0$. Moreover the estimate in Proposition 3.4 gives us

$$\sup_{\tau\in[0,C_1|\log\varepsilon|\wedge\tau_4]} \sup_{\xi\in(C\varepsilon^\alpha,1-\eta)} \sup_{x\in D} |Y^{\varepsilon,\delta}(\tau,\xi,x) - Y(\tau,\xi)| \leq C\varepsilon^\kappa. \tag{5.9}$$

And thus, we obtain the following estimates.

$$\begin{aligned}
|Y_\xi^{\varepsilon,\delta}(\tau,\xi,x) - Y_\xi(\tau,\xi)| &= Y_\xi(\tau,\xi)\left|\exp\left[\int_0^\tau \left\{f'(Y^{\varepsilon,\delta}(s,\xi,x)) - f'(Y(s,\xi))\right\} ds\right] - 1\right| \\
&\leq Y_\xi(\tau,\xi)\left|\exp\left[\int_0^\tau \left|f'(Y^{\varepsilon,\delta}(s,\xi,x)) - f'(Y(s,\xi))\right| ds\right] - 1\right| \\
&\leq Y_\xi(\tau,\xi)\left|\exp(C\varepsilon^\kappa) - 1\right| \quad (\tau \in [0, C|\log\varepsilon| \wedge T_1 \wedge \tau_4]).
\end{aligned} \tag{5.10}$$

The first line is easily proved from (5.4) because we can compute Y_ξ in the same way as $Y_\xi^{\varepsilon,\delta}$. We used the inequality $|e^x - 1| < |e^{|x|} - 1|$ for the second line. Third line is from the differentiability of f, the behavior of Y and $Y^{\varepsilon,\delta}$ from (5.9). We use inequality

$|e^a - 1| < |e^b - 1|$ $(b \geq a \geq 0)$ in the second and the third line. If we take ε sufficiently small, there exists $C_1'(\eta) > 0$ which satisfies

$$C_1' e^{\mu\tau} \leq \widetilde{C}_1(1 - |\exp(C\varepsilon^\kappa) - 1|)e^{\mu\tau} \leq Y_\xi(\tau,\xi)(1 - |\exp(C\varepsilon^\kappa) - 1|) \leq Y_\xi^{\varepsilon,\delta}(\tau,\xi,x) \quad (5.11)$$

for all $x \in D$, $\xi \in (C\varepsilon^\alpha, 1-\eta)$, $\tau \in [0, C_1|\log\varepsilon| \wedge T_1 \wedge \tau_4]$ and $\delta \in (0, \delta_\varepsilon)$ from (5.7) and (5.10). In the same way, there exists $C_2'(\eta) > 0$ such that

$$Y_\xi^{\varepsilon,\delta}(\tau,\xi,x) \leq C_2' e^{\mu\tau}. \quad (5.12)$$

The rest of the proof is the same as Lemma 3.4 of [1]. Indeed, we get

$$|A^{\varepsilon,\delta}(\tau,\xi,x)| \leq \|f''\|_{L^\infty([-1,1])} \int_0^\tau (C_1' \vee C_2') e^{\mu s} ds \leq C_3(e^{\mu\tau} - 1) \quad (5.13)$$

for some $C_3(\eta)$, and this completes the proof of the lemma. □

Next we consider the case of $\xi \in [1-\eta, 1+M]$.

Lemma 5.3. *For all $\eta \in (0,1)$ and $M > 0$, there exist a sequence $\{\delta_\varepsilon\}$ which tends to 0 as $\varepsilon \to 0$ and a positive constant $C_4(\eta, M) > 0$ such that*

$$\sup_{\delta\in(0,\delta_\varepsilon)} \sup_{\xi\in[1-\eta,1+M]} \sup_{x\in D} |A^{\varepsilon,\delta}(\tau,\xi,x)| \leq C_4\tau \text{ for all } \tau \in [0, C_1|\log\varepsilon| \wedge \tau_4] \quad (5.14)$$

holds P-a.s.

Proof. Take $\varepsilon > 0$ small enough and fix a time $\tau \in [0, C_1|\log\varepsilon| \wedge \tau_4]$. From Lemma 3.6 of [1], there exists $\widetilde{C}_4(\eta, M) > 0$ such that $Y_\xi(\tau,\xi) \leq \widetilde{C}_4$ for all $\tau \geq 0$. Thus there exists positive constant $C_4'(\eta, M) > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} Y_\xi^{\varepsilon,\delta}(\tau,\xi,x) &= \exp\left\{\int_0^\tau f'(Y_\xi^{\varepsilon,\delta}(s,\xi,x))ds\right\} \\ &= Y_\xi(\tau,\xi)\exp\left[\int_0^\tau \{f'(Y_\xi^{\varepsilon,\delta}(s,\xi,x)) - f'(Y_\xi(s,\xi))\}ds\right] \\ &\leq \widetilde{C}_4\exp(C\varepsilon^\kappa\tau) \leq \widetilde{C}_4\exp(C\varepsilon^\kappa|\log\varepsilon|) \leq C_4'. \end{aligned} \quad (5.15)$$

In the estimate of the third line, we use (5.10). From the behavior of $Y^{\varepsilon,\delta}(\tau,\xi)$ on Ω_ε and (5.15), we now see that there exists $C_4(\eta, M) > 0$ such that

$$|A^{\varepsilon,\delta}| \leq C_4' \int_0^\tau |f''(Y^{\varepsilon,\delta})(s,\xi)|ds \leq C_4\tau. \quad (5.16)$$

This completes the proof. □

Next lemma is the combination of Lemmas 5.2 and 5.3. We can prove in the same way as Lemma 3.7 of [1].

Lemma 5.4. *For all $\eta \in (0,1)$, there exist a sequence $\{\delta_\varepsilon\}$ which tends to 0 as $\varepsilon \to 0$ and a positive constant $C_5(\eta) > 0$ such that*

$$\sup_{\delta\in(0,\delta_\varepsilon)}\sup_{\xi\in(C\varepsilon^\alpha,2C_0]}\sup_{x\in D}|A^{\varepsilon,\delta}(\tau,\xi,x)| \le C_5(e^{\mu\tau}-1) \ for\ all\ \tau \in [0, C_1|\log\varepsilon| \wedge \tau_4] \tag{5.17}$$

holds P-a.s.

Proof. We fix M as in Lemma 5.3 large enough such that $[-2C_0, 2C_0] \subset [-1-M, 1+M]$, then the positive constant C_4 is independent of M. Because $\tau \le \frac{1}{\mu}(e^{\mu\tau}-1)$ we can take C_5 as $C_5 \ge C_3 \vee \frac{C_4}{\mu}$. □

We can prove the case of $\xi \in [-2C_0, -C\varepsilon^\alpha)$ in a same way. In the case that $\xi \in [-C\varepsilon^\alpha, C\varepsilon^\alpha]$, we need to wait until $Y^{\varepsilon,\delta}$ go out of $[-C\varepsilon^{\alpha'}, C\varepsilon^{\alpha'}]$ where $0 < \alpha' < \alpha$. However, until that time, $A^{\varepsilon,\delta}$ almost behave like $Ce^{\mu\tau}$. Indeed, we get

$$\begin{aligned}|A^{\varepsilon,\delta}(\tau,\xi,x)| &\le \int_0^\tau Y_\xi^{\varepsilon,\delta}(s,\xi,x)f''(Y^{\varepsilon,\delta}(s,\xi,x))ds \le C'\int_0^\tau \exp((\mu + C\varepsilon^{\alpha'})s)ds \\ &\le C'\exp(C_1C\varepsilon^{\alpha'}|\log\varepsilon|)\int_0^\tau e^{\mu s}ds \le \widetilde{C}(e^{\mu\tau}-1),\end{aligned} \tag{5.18}$$

for all $\tau \in [0, C_1|\log\varepsilon| \wedge \tau_\varepsilon]$ where the stopping time τ_ε is defined by

$$\tau_\varepsilon := \inf\{\tau > 0 || Y^{\varepsilon,\delta}| \ge C\varepsilon^{\alpha'} \ for\ some\ \xi \in [-C\varepsilon^\alpha, C\varepsilon^\alpha]\ or\ x \in D\}. \tag{5.19}$$

To sum up these results, we obtain the next theorem.

Proposition 5.5. *For all $\eta \in (0,1)$, there exist a sequence $\{\delta_\varepsilon\}$ which tends to 0 as $\varepsilon \to 0$ and a positive constant $C_5(\eta) > 0$ such that*

$$\sup_{\delta\in(0,\delta_\varepsilon)}\sup_{\xi\in[-2C_0,2C_0]}\sup_{x\in D}|A^{\varepsilon,\delta}(\tau,\xi,x)| \le C_5(e^{\mu\tau}-1) \ for\ all\ \tau \in [0, C_1|\log\varepsilon| \wedge \tau_4] \tag{5.20}$$

holds P-a.s.

5.2 More detailed estimates

Next we derive some estimates which we need when we apply the maximal principle.

Lemma 5.6. *There exist $C > 0$ and $\alpha > 0$ such that*

$$P\left(\|Y_{xx}^{\varepsilon,\delta}\|_\infty \le C\varepsilon^\alpha\right) \to 1 \tag{5.21}$$

as $\varepsilon \to 0$, where $\|\cdot\|_\infty$ is a supreme norm on $\tau \in [0, C_1|\log\varepsilon|]$, $\xi \in [-2C_0, 2C_0]$ and $x \in D$.

Proof. At first we set a stopping time

$$\tau_7 := \inf\{\tau > 0 | \|W_\tau(x)\|_{H^{2+k}(D)} > \varepsilon^{-\beta}\}. \tag{5.22}$$

for $k > \frac{d}{2}$ and $\beta \in (0, \gamma + \frac{1}{2} - C_f C_1)$. It is easy to check that $P(\tau_7 \geq C_1 |\log \varepsilon|) \to 1$ as $\varepsilon \to 0$. Next we derive the estimate for $Y_x^{\varepsilon,\delta}$. $Y_x^{\varepsilon,\delta}$ satisfies the ODE:

$$\begin{cases} \dot{Y}_x^{\varepsilon,\delta} = Y_x^{\varepsilon,\delta} f'(Y^{\varepsilon,\delta}) + \varepsilon^{\gamma+\frac{1}{2}} \partial_x \dot{W}_t^{(\delta)}(x), \\ Y_x^{\varepsilon,\delta}(0,\xi,x) = 0. \end{cases} \tag{5.23}$$

Straightforward computations give us

$$|Y_x^{\varepsilon,\delta}(\tau,\xi,x)| \leq C_f \int_0^\tau |Y_x^{\varepsilon,\delta}(s,\xi,x)| ds + \varepsilon^{\gamma+\frac{1}{2}-\beta} \tag{5.24}$$

for all $\tau \in [0, C_1|\log\varepsilon| \wedge \tau_4 \wedge \tau_7]$, $\xi \in [-2C_0, 2C_0]$ and $x \in D$, because we have that $Y^{\varepsilon,\delta}$ do not go out of some interval until the time τ_4 from Proposition 4.3 and Sobolev's embedding theorem. From Gronwall's inequality and the construction of the process W_t^δ, we obtain

$$\sup_{\xi\in[-2C_0,2C_0]} \sup_{x\in D} |Y_x^{\varepsilon,\delta}(\tau,\xi,x)| \leq C\varepsilon^{\gamma+\frac{1}{2}-\beta} \exp(C_f \tau) \tag{5.25}$$

and this implies that

$$\|Y_x^{\varepsilon,\delta}\|_\infty \leq C\varepsilon^{\gamma+\frac{1}{2}-\beta-C_f C_1}. \tag{5.26}$$

Now we give the estimate for $Y_{xx}^{\varepsilon,\delta}$ similarly. $Y_{xx}^{\varepsilon,\delta}$ satisfies the ODE:

$$\begin{cases} \dot{Y}_{xx}^{\varepsilon,\delta} = Y_{xx}^{\varepsilon,\delta} f'(Y^{\varepsilon,\delta}) + (Y_x^{\varepsilon,\delta})^2 f''(Y^{\varepsilon,\delta}) + \varepsilon^{\gamma+\frac{1}{2}} \partial_{xx} \dot{W}_t^{(\delta)}(x), \\ Y_{xx}^{\varepsilon,\delta}(0,\xi,x) = 0. \end{cases} \tag{5.27}$$

The same argument as above, the behavior of $Y^{\varepsilon,\delta}$ and (5.26) conclude this result. □

Lemma 5.7. *There exist $C > 0$ and $\kappa > 0$ such that for all $C > 0$*

$$P\left(\left\| \frac{Y_{\xi x}^{\varepsilon,\delta}}{Y_\xi^{\varepsilon,\delta}} \right\|_\infty \leq C\varepsilon^\kappa \right) \to 1 \tag{5.28}$$

as $\varepsilon \to 0$, where $\|\cdot\|_\infty$ is a supreme norm as in Lemma 5.6.

Proof. We note that

$$\frac{Y_{\xi x}^{\varepsilon,\delta}}{Y_\xi^{\varepsilon,\delta}} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \log Y_\xi^{\varepsilon,\delta} = \int_0^\tau Y_x^{\varepsilon,\delta}(s,\xi,x) f''(Y^{\varepsilon,\delta}(s,\xi,x)) ds \tag{5.29}$$

and the estimate (5.26) and the behavior of $Y^{\varepsilon,\delta}$ give us $\frac{Y_{\xi x}^{\varepsilon,\delta}}{Y_\xi^{\varepsilon,\delta}} \leq C\varepsilon^{\gamma+\frac{1}{2}-C_f C} |\log\varepsilon|$ for all $\tau \in [0, C_1|\log\varepsilon| \wedge \tau_4 \wedge \tau_7]$, $\xi \in [-2C_0, 2C_0]$ and $x \in D$. □

5.3 Proof of the comparison theorem

Now we prove Proposition 5.1 by using the maximal principle.

Proof of Proposition 5.1. First of all, we check the initial conditions ξ in $w_\varepsilon^\pm$ are in $[-2C_0, 2C_0]$. When $t \in [0, C_1\varepsilon|\log\varepsilon|]$ and ε is sufficiently small, we have that

$$u_0^+ + \varepsilon C_2(e^{\frac{\mu t}{\varepsilon}} - 1) \le C_0 + C_2(\varepsilon^{1-C_1\mu} - \varepsilon) \le 2C_0 \tag{5.30}$$

because $C_1 < \frac{1}{\mu}$. We can show $u_0^- - \varepsilon C_2(e^{\frac{\mu t}{\varepsilon}} - 1) \ge -2C_0$ in a similar way. Let $\mathcal{L}$ be an operator which is defined by

$$\mathcal{L}(u)(t,x) := \dot{u}(t,x) - \Delta u(t,x) - \frac{1}{\varepsilon} f(u(t,x)) - \varepsilon^\gamma \dot{W}_t^{(\delta)}(x). \tag{5.31}$$

From the maximal principle, if $\mathcal{L}(w_{\varepsilon,\delta}^+) \ge 0$ then $w_{\varepsilon,\delta}^+ \ge u^{\varepsilon,\delta}$. Now we fix $t \in [0, C_1\varepsilon|\log\varepsilon| \wedge \varepsilon\tau_4 \wedge \tau_6 \wedge \varepsilon\tau_7]$ derive an estimate for $\mathcal{L}(w_{\varepsilon,\delta}^+)(t,x)$. Until the time $\varepsilon\tau_4 \wedge \tau_6 \wedge \varepsilon\tau_7$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}(w_{\varepsilon,\delta}^+)(t,x) &= \frac{1}{\varepsilon} Y_\tau^{\varepsilon,\delta} + \mu C_2 e^{\frac{\mu t}{\varepsilon}} Y_\xi^{\varepsilon,\delta} - \Delta u_0^+ Y_\xi^{\varepsilon,\delta} - \{\nabla u_0^+\}^2 Y_{\xi\xi}^{\varepsilon,\delta} - 2\nabla u_0^+ Y_{\xi x}^{\varepsilon,\delta} - Y_{xx}^{\varepsilon,\delta} \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{\varepsilon} f(Y^{\varepsilon,\delta}) - \varepsilon^\gamma \dot{W}_t^{(\delta)}(x) \\
&= \mu C_2 e^{\frac{\mu t}{\varepsilon}} Y_\xi^{\varepsilon,\delta} - \Delta u_0^+ Y_\xi^{\varepsilon,\delta} - \{\nabla u_0^+\}^2 Y_{\xi\xi}^{\varepsilon,\delta} - 2\nabla u_0^+ Y_{\xi x}^{\varepsilon,\delta} - Y_{xx}^{\varepsilon,\delta} \\
&= \left[\mu C_2 e^{\frac{\mu t}{\varepsilon}} - \Delta u_0^+ - \{\nabla u_0^+\}^2 A^{\varepsilon,\delta} - 2\nabla u_0^+ \frac{Y_{\xi x}^{\varepsilon,\delta}}{Y_\xi^{\varepsilon,\delta}} \right] Y_\xi^{\varepsilon,\delta} - Y_{xx}^{\varepsilon,\delta} \\
&\ge \left[\left\{ \mu C_2 - C_5 \{\nabla u_0^+\}^2 \right\} e^{\frac{\mu t}{\varepsilon}} - \Delta u_0^+ - 2\nabla u_0^+ \frac{Y_{\xi x}^{\varepsilon,\delta}}{Y_\xi^{\varepsilon,\delta}} \right] Y_\xi^{\varepsilon,\delta} - Y_{xx}^{\varepsilon,\delta} \\
&\ge \left[\mu C_2 - C_5 \{\nabla u_0^+\}^2 - \Delta u_0^+ - 2\nabla u_0^+ \frac{Y_{\xi x}^{\varepsilon,\delta}}{Y_\xi^{\varepsilon,\delta}} \right] Y_\xi^{\varepsilon,\delta} - Y_{xx}^{\varepsilon,\delta} \\
&\ge \left(\mu C_2 - C_5 C_0^2 - C_0 - 2C_0 \varepsilon^\kappa \right) Y_\xi^{\varepsilon,\delta} - \varepsilon^\kappa
\end{aligned} \tag{5.32}$$

for all $x \in D$ and $\delta \in (0, \delta_\varepsilon)$. Note that the stochastic process $Y_\xi^{\varepsilon,\delta}$ is positive. The definition of $A^{\varepsilon,\delta}$ gives us the third equality. The forth inequality comes from Proposition 5.5. We can take C_2 large so that the right hand side of (5.32) is larger than 0. Thus we have proved that

$$w_{\varepsilon,\delta}^+ \ge u^{\varepsilon,\delta} \ \textit{for all}\ t \in [0, C_1\varepsilon|\log\varepsilon| \wedge \varepsilon\tau_4 \wedge \tau_6 \wedge \varepsilon\tau_7],\ x \in D \ \textit{and}\ \delta \in (0, \delta_\varepsilon] \tag{5.33}$$

holds P-a.s. Now we fix $\varepsilon > 0$. For each $\omega \in \Omega$ a.s.-P, we can show that the functions $w_{\varepsilon,\delta}^+(t,x)$ and $u^{\varepsilon,\delta}(t,x)$ converge uniformly to $w_\varepsilon^+(t,x)$ and $u^\varepsilon(t,x)$ as $\delta \to 0$ respectively from the estimates in Proposition 4.3 and Proposition 4.5. These convergence preserve the estimate between $w_{\varepsilon,\delta}^+(t,x)$ and $u^{\varepsilon,\delta}(t,x)$, and do not contradict the limit as $\varepsilon \to 0$. And thus we conclude that

$$w_\varepsilon^+(t,x) \ge u^\varepsilon(t,x) \ \textit{for every}\ t \in [0, C_1\varepsilon|\log\varepsilon| \wedge \varepsilon\tau_4 \wedge \tau_6 \wedge \varepsilon\tau_7],\ x \in D \tag{5.34}$$

holds P-a.s. The converse $w_\varepsilon^- \le u^\varepsilon$ can be proved in a similar way. □

6 Proof of Theorem 1.1

In this section, we prove the generation of interface in multi-dimension.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. At first, we take $\tilde{\gamma}_d$ large enough so that lemmas the propositions from Section 2 to 5 hold for all $\gamma \geq \tilde{\gamma}_d$. Proposition 5.1 implies that

$$u^\varepsilon(C_1\varepsilon|\log\varepsilon| \wedge \varepsilon\tau_4 \wedge \tau_6 \wedge \varepsilon\tau_7, x) \leq w_\varepsilon^+(C_1\varepsilon|\log\varepsilon| \wedge \varepsilon\tau_4 \wedge \tau_6 \wedge \varepsilon\tau_7, x), \tag{6.1}$$

for all C_1 which satisfies $0 < C_1 < \frac{1}{\mu}$, holds P-a.s. From the definition of w_ε^+ and τ_4 we can estimate as

$$\begin{aligned} &w_\varepsilon^+(C_1\varepsilon|\log\varepsilon| \wedge \varepsilon\tau_4 \wedge \tau_6 \wedge \varepsilon\tau_7, x) \\ &\qquad \leq Y^\varepsilon\left(C_1|\log\varepsilon| \wedge \varepsilon\tau_4 \wedge \tau_6 \wedge \varepsilon\tau_7, u_0^+(x) + C_2(\varepsilon^{1-C_1\mu} - \varepsilon), x\right) \\ &\qquad \leq Y\left(C_1|\log\varepsilon| \wedge \varepsilon\tau_4 \wedge \tau_6 \wedge \varepsilon\tau_7, u_0^+(x) + C_2(\varepsilon^{1-C_1\mu} - \varepsilon)\right) + \varepsilon^\kappa. \end{aligned} \tag{6.2}$$

From Proposition 2.2, Lemma 3.4, (6.1) and (6.2), we get

$$\begin{aligned} &P(C_1\varepsilon|\log\varepsilon| \leq \varepsilon\tau_4 \wedge \tau_6 \wedge \varepsilon\tau_7) \\ &\qquad \leq P\left(u^\varepsilon(C_1\varepsilon|\log\varepsilon|, x) \leq 1 + C\varepsilon^\kappa\right) \end{aligned} \tag{6.3}$$

and the left hand side converges to 1 as $\varepsilon \to 0$. This is the upper bound of (i). Remind that the estimate

$$|u_0(x) + C_2(\varepsilon^{1-C_1\mu} - \varepsilon)| \leq 2C_0 \tag{6.4}$$

holds for all $x \in D$. The proof of the lower bound is similar. Next we check the conditions (ii) and (iii). We only show (ii). From Proposition 5.1 and the definition of τ_4, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} u^\varepsilon(C_1\varepsilon|\log\varepsilon| \wedge \varepsilon\tau_4 \wedge \tau_6 \wedge \varepsilon\tau_7, x) &\geq w_\varepsilon^-(C_1\varepsilon|\log\varepsilon| \wedge \varepsilon\tau_4 \wedge \tau_6 \wedge \varepsilon\tau_7, x) \\ &\geq Y^\varepsilon\left(C_1|\log\varepsilon| \wedge \varepsilon\tau_4 \wedge \tau_6 \wedge \varepsilon\tau_7, u_0^-(x) - C_2(\varepsilon^{1-C_1\mu} - \varepsilon), x\right) \\ &\geq Y\left(C_1|\log\varepsilon| \wedge \varepsilon\tau_4 \wedge \tau_6 \wedge \varepsilon\tau_7, u_0^-(x) - C_2(\varepsilon^{1-C_1\mu} - \varepsilon)\right) - \varepsilon^\kappa \end{aligned} \tag{6.5}$$

Here we need to observe the neighborhood of $\{x \in D | u_0(x) = 0\}$. The condition $\xi > \varepsilon^\alpha$ is equivalent to

$$u_0^-(x) \geq C_2(\varepsilon^{1-C_1\mu} - \varepsilon) + \varepsilon^\alpha. \tag{6.6}$$

We can take $u_0 - u_0^-$ sufficiently small. And thus there exist a positive constant $C > 0$ and $u_0(x) \geq C_2\varepsilon^{1-C_1\mu}$ implies (6.6) if $1 - C_1\mu \leq 1 \wedge \alpha$. We take the constant $\beta := 1 - C_1\mu$. The proof of (iii) is similar to that of (ii). The convergence $P(C_1\varepsilon|\log\varepsilon| \leq \varepsilon\tau_4 \wedge \tau_6 \wedge \varepsilon\tau_7) \to 1$, as $\varepsilon \to 0$, complete the proof. □

7 Proofs of Theorems 1.2 and 1.3

In this section, we prove the generation and the motion of interface in one-dimension. Before proving, we need some preparations and show the analogous lemmas and propositions to that of Section 3, 4 and 5. Let u^ε be the solution of (1.1) and $u^{\varepsilon,\delta}$ be its approximation defined in Section 4. We define super and sub solutions as following;

$$w_\varepsilon^\pm(t,x) = Y^\varepsilon\left(\frac{t}{\varepsilon}, u_0(x) \pm \varepsilon h(x)\left(e^{\frac{\mu t}{\varepsilon}} - 1\right), x\right), \tag{7.1}$$

where Y^ε is the solution of SDE (3.1) with D replaced by $[-1,1]$ and $h \in H^3(\mathbb{R})$. We take the positive function $h \in H^3(\mathbb{R})$ and prove that they are super and sub solutions of (1.4) in the next proposition. We can extend the comparison argument in previous section to that of one-dimension.

Lemma 7.1. *If $2\gamma + 1 - 3\kappa - (\alpha+1)\frac{2C_f}{\mu} > 0$ holds, then there exists $C > 0$ such that*

$$P\left(\|Y^\varepsilon - Y\|_\infty \le C\varepsilon^\kappa\right) \to 1 \tag{7.2}$$

as $\varepsilon \to 0$, where $\|\cdot\|_\infty$ is a supreme norm on $\tau \in [0, \frac{1}{\mu}|\log\varepsilon|]$, $\xi \in [-2C_0, 2C_0]\backslash(-\varepsilon^\alpha, \varepsilon^\alpha)$ and $x \in [-1,1]$.

We approximate Y^ε as $Y^{\varepsilon,\delta}$ as in (4.6). Propositions 4.3, 4.5, 5.5 and Lemmas 5.6, 5.7 with D replaced by $\mathbb{R}$ or $[-1,1]$ can be shown in a similar way to that of these results. We define stopping times $\bar\tau_i$ $(i = 1, \cdots, 7)$

$$\bar\tau_2 = \inf\{\tau > 0 | |Y^\varepsilon - Y| > \varepsilon^\kappa \ for\ some\ \xi \in [-2C_0, 2C_0]\backslash(-\varepsilon^\alpha, \varepsilon^\alpha),\ x \in \mathbb{R}\}, \tag{7.3}$$

$$\bar\tau_3 = \inf\{\tau > 0 | \|Y^{\varepsilon,\delta}(\tau,\cdot,\cdot)\|_{L^\infty([-2C_0,2C_0]\times\mathbb{R})} > 2C_0 + \delta'\ for\ some\ \delta \in (0, \delta_\varepsilon]\}, \tag{7.4}$$

$$\bar\tau_4 = \bar\tau_2 \wedge \bar\tau_3, \tag{7.5}$$

$$\bar\tau_5 = \inf\{t > 0 | |u^{\varepsilon,\delta}(t,x)| > 2C_0\ for\ some\ x \in \mathbb{R}\ or\ \delta \in (0, \delta_\varepsilon]\}, \tag{7.6}$$

$$\bar\tau_6 = \bar\tau_1 \wedge \bar\tau_5, \tag{7.7}$$

$$\bar\tau_7 = \inf\{\tau > 0 | \|W_\tau(x)\|_{H^3(\mathbb{R})} > \varepsilon^{-\beta}\}, \tag{7.8}$$

by replacing D to $\mathbb{R}$ or $[-1,1]$, where $\delta' > 0$ and $\beta > 0$. $\bar\tau_1$ is defined in (2.2).

Proposition 7.2. *Let u^ε be the solution of (1.4) and $w_\varepsilon^\pm$ be defined in (7.1). For every $0 < C_1 < \frac{1}{\mu}$,*

$$w_\varepsilon^-(t,x) \le u^\varepsilon(t,x) \le w_\varepsilon^+(t,x)\ for\ every\ t \in [0, C_1\varepsilon|\log\varepsilon| \wedge \varepsilon\bar\tau_4 \wedge \bar\tau_6 \wedge \varepsilon\bar\tau_7],\ x \in \mathbb{R} \tag{7.9}$$

holds P-a.s.

Proof. We approximate super and sub solutions as following;

$$w_{\varepsilon,\delta}^\pm(t,x) = Y^{\varepsilon,\delta}\left(\frac{t}{\varepsilon}, u_0^\pm(x) \pm \varepsilon h(x)\left(e^{\frac{\mu t}{\varepsilon}} - 1\right), x\right), \tag{7.10}$$

where $Y^{\varepsilon,\delta}$ is the solution of ODE (4.6). The main difference from Proposition 5.1 is that the domain D is replaced by $\mathbb{R}$ and the boundary condition. However, we can apply the comparison theorem to $w^{\pm}_{\varepsilon,\delta}$ and $u^{\varepsilon,\delta}$ because we consider the totally space $\mathbb{R}$. Then we get

$$\mathcal{L}(w^{+}_{\varepsilon,\delta})(t,x) \geq \Big[\mu h - \{u_0' + \varepsilon h'(e^{\frac{\mu t}{\varepsilon}} - 1)\}^2 - \{\Delta u_0 + \varepsilon \Delta h(e^{\frac{\mu t}{\varepsilon}} - 1)\} \\ - 2\{u_0' + \varepsilon h'(e^{\frac{\mu t}{\varepsilon}} - 1)\}\frac{Y^{\varepsilon,\delta}_{\xi x}}{Y^{\varepsilon,\delta}_{\xi}}\Big] Y^{\varepsilon,\delta}_{\xi} - Y^{\varepsilon,\delta}_{xx} \tag{7.11}$$

for all $x \in D$ and $\delta \in (0, \delta_\varepsilon)$. Lemma 5.6 and Lemma 5.7 allow us to take $h \in H^3(\mathbb{R})$ large so that the right hand side of (7.11) is larger than 0 and $h(x)$ decay like Definition 1.1 for all $|x| \geq 1$ because $u_0 \pm 1$ is of class $H^3(\mathbb{R})$ out of the interval $[-1, 1]$. See the proof of Proposition 2.5 of [7] for the detailed condition of h. The rest of proof is similar to that of Proposition 5.1. □

Proof of Theorem 1.2. We take $\tilde{\gamma}$ large enough as in the proof of Theorem 1.1. We only need to check the condition in Definition 1.1. By applying Proposition 5.1 and replacing $\varepsilon\tau_4 \wedge \tau_6 \wedge \varepsilon\tau_7$ to $\varepsilon\bar{\tau}_4 \wedge \bar{\tau}_6 \wedge \varepsilon\bar{\tau}_7$, We can show the conditions (i), (ii) and (iii) in a similar way to the proof of Theorem 1.1. Thus we check (iv) and (v) of Definition 1.1. We only consider (iv). From the fact that $Y^\varepsilon = Y$ for all $x > 1$ and the definition of $h \in H^3(\mathbb{R})$, we immediately see that

$$\begin{aligned} u^\varepsilon(C_1\varepsilon|\log\varepsilon| \wedge \varepsilon\bar{\tau}_4 \wedge \bar{\tau}_6 \wedge \varepsilon\bar{\tau}_7, x) - 1 &\leq w^+_\varepsilon(C_1\varepsilon|\log\varepsilon| \wedge \varepsilon\bar{\tau}_4 \wedge \bar{\tau}_6 \wedge \varepsilon\bar{\tau}_7, x) - 1 \\ &\leq Y^\varepsilon\left(C_1|\log\varepsilon| \wedge \varepsilon\bar{\tau}_4 \wedge \bar{\tau}_6 \wedge \varepsilon\bar{\tau}_7, u_0(x) + h(x)(\varepsilon^{1-C_1\mu} - \varepsilon), x\right) - 1 \\ &= Y\left(C_1|\log\varepsilon| \wedge \varepsilon\bar{\tau}_4 \wedge \bar{\tau}_6 \wedge \varepsilon\bar{\tau}_7, u_0(x) + h(x)(\varepsilon^{1-C_1\mu} - \varepsilon)\right) - 1 \\ &\leq u_0(x) + h(x)(\varepsilon^{1-C_1\mu} - \varepsilon) - 1 = \varepsilon^\kappa g_1(x) + h(x)(\varepsilon^{1-C_1\mu} - \varepsilon) \\ &\leq \varepsilon^\kappa \bar{g}_1(x), \end{aligned} \tag{7.12}$$

for all $x > 1$, holds P-a.s. for some $\bar{g}_1 \in H^1(\mathbb{R})$. The first inequality in the first line comes from Proposition 5.1. We get

$$u^\varepsilon(C_1\varepsilon|\log\varepsilon| \wedge \varepsilon\bar{\tau}_4 \wedge \bar{\tau}_6 \wedge \varepsilon\bar{\tau}_7, x) - 1 \geq -\varepsilon^\kappa \bar{g}_1(x), \tag{7.13}$$

in a similar way. The convergence $P(C_1\varepsilon|\log\varepsilon| \leq \varepsilon\bar{\tau}_4 \wedge \bar{\tau}_6 \wedge \varepsilon\bar{\tau}_7) \to 1$, as $\varepsilon \to 0$, complete the proof of (iv) in Definition 1.1. We can show (v) in a similar way by virtue of Proposition 5.1. □

Proof of Theorem 1.3. We set $C_1\varepsilon|\log\varepsilon|$ as an initial time. Now we construct the super and sub solutions again satisfying PDEs:

$$\dot{w}^\pm_\varepsilon(t,x) = \Delta w^\pm_\varepsilon(t,x) + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} f(w^\pm_\varepsilon) + \varepsilon^\gamma a(x)\dot{W}_t \tag{7.14}$$

where the initial values are defined by

$$w_\varepsilon^{\pm}(0,x) := \begin{cases} m(\varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{2}}(x-\xi_0 \pm C\varepsilon^{1-C_1\mu})) \pm \varepsilon^\kappa, & x \in [-1,1], \\ 1+\varepsilon^\kappa \tilde{g}_1(x), & x \geq 1, \\ -1+\varepsilon^\kappa \tilde{g}_2(x), & x \leq -1, \end{cases} \tag{7.15}$$

for $\tilde{g}_1$, $\tilde{g}_2 \in H^1(\mathbb{R})$ where m satisfies the following ODE:

$$\begin{cases} \Delta m + f(m) = 0,\ m(0) = 0,\ m(\pm\infty) = \pm 1, \\ m \text{ is monotonous increasing.} \end{cases} \tag{7.16}$$

From the form of the generated interfaces, we can take $\tilde{g}_1$, $\tilde{g}_2 \in H^1(\mathbb{R})$ so that $w_\varepsilon^-(0,x) \leq u_0^\varepsilon(x) \leq w_\varepsilon^+(0,x)$ holds. And thus, these estimates, the maximal principle and the smooth approximation as in Section 4 allow us to compare the solutions as below.

$$w_\varepsilon^-(t,x) \leq u^\varepsilon(t,x) \leq w_\varepsilon^+(t,x) \textit{ holds for all } t \in [0, \varepsilon^{-2\gamma-1}T] \textit{ and } x \in \mathbb{R} \textit{ P-a.s.} \tag{7.17}$$

It is easy to see that $\|w_\varepsilon^{\pm}(0,\cdot) - m(\varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\cdot - \xi_0 \pm C\varepsilon^{1-C_1\mu}))\|_{H^1} \leq C\varepsilon^\kappa$ for $\kappa > 1$ from Theorem 1.2. And hence, we can complete the proof of the theorem in the same way as the proof of Theorem 1.1 of [7]. □

Acknowledgements

The author would like to thank Professor T. Funaki for his tremendous supports and incisive advices. This work was supported by the Program for Leading Graduate Schools, MEXT, Japan and Japan society for the promotion of science, JSPS.

References

[1] M. Alfaro, D. Hilhorst, H. Matano, *The singular limit of the Allen-Cahn equation and the FitzHugh-Nagumo system*, J. Differential Equations, **245** (2008), no. 2, 505-565.

[2] X. Chen, *Generation, propagation, and annihilation of metastable patterns*, J. Differential Equations, **206** (2004), no. 2, 399-437.

[3] A. Fliedman, *Partial Differential Equations of Parabolic type*, Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs (New Jersey) 1964.

[4] T. Funaki, *Singular limit for reaction-diffusion equation with self-similar Gaussian noise*, (English summary) New trends in stochastic analysis (Charingworth, 1994), 132-152, World Sci. Publ., River Edge, NJ, 1997.

[5] T. Funaki, *The scaling limit for a stochastic PDE and the separation of phases*, Probab. Theory Related Fields, **102** (1995), no. 2, 221-288.

[6] T. Funaki, *Regularity properties for stochastic partial differential equations of parabolic type*, Osaka J. Math. **28** (1991), no. 3, 495-516.

[7] K. Lee, *Generation and motion of interfaces in one-dimensional stochastic Allen-Cahn equation*, arXiv:1511.05727.

[8] G. Da Prato, J.Zabczyk, *Stochastic Equations in infinite dimensions*, second edition, Encyclopedia of Mathematics and Its Applications **152**, Cambridge